

On the classification of Galois objects of $\mathcal{O}_q(SL(2))$

Thomas Aubriot
Université de Bourgogne – Dijon

Metz, 8 November 2007

Reference

In this talk, I will present the results of the following article

- “On the classification of Galois objects over the quantum group of a nondegenerate bilinear form.” *Manuscripta Math.*, **122**, (2007), 119–135.

Overlay

1 Definitions

- Hopf-Galois extensions
- Bi-Galois objects
- Fiber functors
- Cleft Galois objects
- The Hopf algebra $\mathcal{B}(E)$
- The algebra $\mathcal{B}(E, F)$

2 Classification results for the Galois objects of $\mathcal{B}(E)$

Non-commutative geometry

Group G

Hopf algebra H

Non-commutative geometry

Group G

Multiplication

Hopf algebra H

Comultiplication

Non-commutative geometry

Group G

Multiplication

Finite set X with an action
 $G \times X \rightarrow X$

Hopf algebra H

Comultiplication

H -comodule algebra Z
 with a coaction $Z \rightarrow H \otimes Z$.

Quantum principal bundle

The orbites X/G of X under G is a G -set

$B = Z^{co H}$ is a subalgebra and a sub- H -comodule of Z

Quantum principal bundle

The orbits X/G of X under G is a G -set

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ \downarrow \\ X/G \end{array}$$

is a principal fibration

The fibres are isomorphic to G

$B = Z^{co H}$ is a subalgebra and a sub- H -comodule of Z

$\text{can} : Z \otimes_B Z \rightarrow H \otimes Z$ is bijective

Canonical map

Let k be a commutative ring, H be a Hopf algebra and (Z, δ) be a left H -comodule algebra.

Canonical map

Let k be a commutative ring, H be a Hopf algebra and (Z, δ) be a left H -comodule algebra.

Definition

- The *covariants elements* $Z^{co H}$ of Z are the elements $z \in Z$ such that $\delta(z) = 1 \otimes z$.

Canonical map

Let k be a commutative ring, H be a Hopf algebra and (Z, δ) be a left H -comodule algebra.

Definition

- The *covariants elements* $Z^{co H}$ of Z are the elements $z \in Z$ such that $\delta(z) = 1 \otimes z$.
- The *canonical map* $\text{can} : Z \otimes_{Z^{co H}} Z \rightarrow H \otimes Z$ is defined by

$$\text{can}(y \otimes z) = \delta(y)(1 \otimes z)$$

for any $y, z \in Z$.

Definition

Let k be a commutative ring, H a Hopf algebra and B an algebra.

Definition

Z is a *Hopf-Galois H -extension of B* if

Definition

Let k be a commutative ring, H a Hopf algebra and B an algebra.

Definition

Z is a *Hopf-Galois H -extension of B* if

- Z is an H -comodule algebra,

Definition

Let k be a commutative ring, H a Hopf algebra and B an algebra.

Definition

Z is a *Hopf-Galois H -extension of B* if

- Z is an H -comodule algebra,
- $Z^{\text{co } H} = B$,

Definition

Let k be a commutative ring, H a Hopf algebra and B an algebra.

Definition

Z is a *Hopf-Galois H -extension of B* if

- Z is an H -comodule algebra,
- $Z^{\text{co } H} = B$,
- the canonical map is bijective.

Generalization of Galois extensions of fields

Example

Let $k \subset L$ be a Galois extension of fields with finite Galois group G . Let k^G be the algebra of functions on G .

Generalization of Galois extensions of fields

Example

Let $k \subset L$ be a Galois extension of fields with finite Galois group G . Let k^G be the algebra of functions on G . Then L is a Hopf-Galois k^G -extension of k .

Generalization of Galois extensions of fields

Example

Let $k \subset L$ be a Galois extension of fields with finite Galois group G . Let k^G be the algebra of functions on G . Then L is a Hopf-Galois k^G -extension of k .

The bijectivity of the canonical map is a consequence of the independance of the characters or, equivalently, of the normal basis property.

Galois objects

Let k be a commutative ring and H a Hopf algebra.

Definition

A faithfully flat (as k -module) Hopf-Galois H -extension of k is called a *Galois object* of H .

Bi-Galois objects

Let k be a commutative ring and H, K be two Hopf algebras.

Bi-Galois objects

Let k be a commutative ring and H, K be two Hopf algebras.

Definition

An H - K -Bi-Galois object is an H - K -bicomodule which is both a Galois object of H and K .

Bi-Galois objects

Let k be a commutative ring and H, K be two Hopf algebras.

Definition

An H - K -Bi-Galois object is an H - K -bicomodule which is both a Galois object of H and K .

Theorem (Schauenburg)

The categories of comodules over H and K are equivalent if and only if there exist a (faithfully flat) H - K -Bi-Galois object.

Functors associated to a Galois object

Let Z be a Galois object of H .

Functors associated to a Galois object

Let Z be a Galois object of H . Let us consider the functor

$$\omega_Z : \text{Comod}(H) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(k)$$

Functors associated to a Galois object

Let Z be a Galois object of H . Let us consider the functor

$$\omega_Z : \text{Comod}(H) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(k)$$

defined by

$$\omega_Z(U) = U \square_H Z,$$

Functors associated to a Galois object

Let Z be a Galois object of H . Let us consider the functor

$$\omega_Z : \text{Comod}(H) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(k)$$

defined by

$$\omega_Z(U) = U \square_H Z,$$

where \square_H stands for the cotensor product over H , that is the equalizer of the coactions of U and Z .

Theorem (Ulbrich)

- *Let Z be a Galois object of H . Then ω_Z is a fiber functor (monoidal exact commuting with colimits).*

Theorem (Ulbrich)

- *Let Z be a Galois object of H . Then ω_Z is a fiber functor (monoidal exact commuting with colimits).*
- *Conversely, if $F : \text{Comod}(H) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(k)$ is a fiber functor, it has the form $-\square_H Z$ for a Galois object Z of H .*

Cocycles on a Hopf algebra

Definition

The linear map $\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow k$ is a *left cocycle* if

Cocycles on a Hopf algebra

Definition

The linear map $\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow k$ is a *left cocycle* if

$$\sigma(k_{(1)}, m_{(1)}) \sigma(h, k_{(2)} m_{(2)}) = \sigma(h_{(1)}, k_{(1)}) \sigma(h_{(2)} k_{(2)}, m),$$

Cocycles on a Hopf algebra

Definition

The linear map $\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow k$ is a *left cocycle* if

$$\sigma(k_{(1)}, m_{(1)}) \sigma(h, k_{(2)}m_{(2)}) = \sigma(h_{(1)}, k_{(1)}) \sigma(h_{(2)}k_{(2)}, m),$$

and if $\sigma(h, 1) = \sigma(1, h) = \varepsilon(h)$ for any $h, k, m \in H$.

Twisted comodule algebra

Definition

Let A be a H -comodule algebra and $\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow k$ be a left cocycle.

Twisted comodule algebra

Definition

Let A be a H -comodule algebra and $\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow k$ be a left cocycle. *The left twisted H -comodule algebra ${}_{\sigma}A$ is the H -comodule A together with the product*

$$a \cdot_{\sigma} b = \sigma(a_{(1)}, b_{(1)}) a_{(2)} b_{(2)}$$

for any $a, b \in A$.

Cleft Galois objects

Let k be a field.

Cleft Galois objects

Let k be a field.

Definition

Let $\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow k$ be a left cocycle. Then the H -comodule algebra ${}_{\sigma}H$ is a Galois object of H and is said to be a *cleft Galois object*.

Finite dimensional Galois objects

Let k be a field.

Theorem

Every Galois object of a finite dimensional Hopf algebra is cleft.

Definition of the algebra $\mathcal{B}(E)$

Let k be a commutative ring, n integer and $E = (E_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in GL_n(k)$.

Definition of the algebra $\mathcal{B}(E)$

Let k be a commutative ring, n integer and $E = (E_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in GL_n(k)$.

Definition (Dubois-Violette, Launer)

We define $\mathcal{B}(E)$ as the k -algebra generated by n^2 variables a_{ij} , $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, submitted to the matrix relations

$$E^{-1}a^t E a = I_n = a E^{-1} a^t E,$$

Definition of the algebra $\mathcal{B}(E)$

Let k be a commutative ring, n integer and $E = (E_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in GL_n(k)$.

Definition (Dubois-Violette, Launer)

We define $\mathcal{B}(E)$ as the k -algebra generated by n^2 variables a_{ij} , $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, submitted to the matrix relations

$$E^{-1}a^t E a = I_n = a E^{-1} a^t E,$$

where E^{-1} is the inverse of the matrix E , a the matrix (a_{ij}) , I_n the identity matrix of rank n and a^t the transpose of a .

Hopf algebra structure

The algebra $\mathcal{B}(E)$ has a Hopf algebra structure with the comultiplication Δ defined by

$$\Delta(a_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \otimes a_{kj},$$

the counity ε defined by $\varepsilon(a_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}$, for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, and where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker symbol, and the antipode S defined by $S(a) = E^{-1}a^tE$.

The Hopf algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(SL(2))$

Let $q \in k^*$ and define E_q the matrix

$$E_q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -q^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Hopf algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(SL(2))$

Let $q \in k^*$ and define E_q the matrix

$$E_q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -q^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{B}(E_q)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_q(SL(2))$.

The quantum group of a bilinear form

The Hopf algebras $\mathcal{B}(E)$ were introduced by Dubois-Violette and Launer as “quantum group of a bilinear form”. It is the universal Hopf algebra H such that the bilinear form defined by the matrix E is an H -comodule.

Definitions

The algebra $\mathcal{B}(E, F)$ Definition of the algebra $\mathcal{B}(E, F)$

Let n, m be integers and $E \in GL_n(k)$, $F \in GL_m(k)$ be invertible matrices.

The algebra $\mathcal{B}(E, F)$

Definition of the algebra $\mathcal{B}(E, F)$

Let n, m be integers and $E \in GL_n(k)$, $F \in GL_m(k)$ be invertible matrices.

Definition (Bichon)

Let consider the algebra $\mathcal{B}(E, F)$ generated by $n \times m$ variables z_{ij} , $i = 1, \dots, n$; $j = 1, \dots, m$, submitted to the matrix relations

$$F^{-1}z^t E z = I_m, \quad z F^{-1} z^t E = I_n,$$

where z is the matrix of the generators z_{ij} and I_n, I_m the identity matrices of rank n, m .

The algebra $\mathcal{B}(E, F)$

Comodule algebra structure

The algebra morphism $\delta : \mathcal{B}(E, F) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E) \otimes \mathcal{B}(E, F)$, defined by

$$\delta(z_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \otimes z_{kj},$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$, endows $\mathcal{B}(E, F)$ with a left $\mathcal{B}(E)$ -comodule algebra.

The algebra $\mathcal{B}(E, F)$

Bicomodule algebra structure

In the same way, the algebra morphism $\rho : \mathcal{B}(E, F) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E, F) \otimes \mathcal{B}(F)$ defined by

$$\rho(z_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^n z_{ik} \otimes b_{kj},$$

where the b_{ij} are the generators of $\mathcal{B}(F)$, endows $\mathcal{B}(E, F)$ with a right $\mathcal{B}(F)$ -comodule algebra structure and $\mathcal{B}(E, F)$ is a $\mathcal{B}(E)$ - $\mathcal{B}(F)$ -bicomodule algebra with bijective canonical maps.

Corepresentations category of $\mathcal{O}_q(SL(2))$

Let k be an algebraically closed field and $n \geq 2$ be an integer.

Corepresentations category of $\mathcal{O}_q(SL(2))$

Let k be an algebraically closed field and $n \geq 2$ be an integer.

Theorem (Bichon)

Let $E \in GL_n(k)$ and $q \in k^$ such that $q^2 + \text{Tr}(E^t E^{-1})q + 1 = 0$.
Then we have an equivalence of monoidal categories*

$$\text{Comod}(\mathcal{B}(E)) \cong \text{Comod}(\mathcal{O}_q(SL(2))).$$

between the categories of comodules over $\mathcal{B}(E)$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(SL(2))$ respectively.

1 Definitions

- ## 2 Classification results for the Galois objects of $\mathcal{B}(E)$
- Classification of Galois objects up to isomorphism
 - Classification up to homotopy

Classification up to isomorphism

Let k be a commutative ring and $n \geq 2$ be an integer.

Classification up to isomorphism

Let k be a commutative ring and $n \geq 2$ be an integer.

Theorem

Let $E \in GL_n(k)$ and Z be a Galois object of $\mathcal{B}(E)$. Then there exist $m \geq 2$ and $F \in GL_m(k)$ such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(F^{-1}F^t) = \mathrm{Tr}(E^{-1}E^t)$$

and such that Z is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}(E, F)$ as Galois objects of $\mathcal{B}(E)$.

Classification up to isomorphism

Theorem

Let k be a P.I.D, $n, m_1, m_2 \geq 2$ be integers, $E \in GL_n(k)$, $F_1 \in GL_{m_1}(k)$ and $F_2 \in GL_{m_2}(k)$ be matrices such that the algebras $\mathcal{B}(E, F_1)$ and $\mathcal{B}(E, F_2)$ are k -faithfully flat.

Classification up to isomorphism

Theorem

Let k be a P.I.D, $n, m_1, m_2 \geq 2$ be integers, $E \in GL_n(k)$, $F_1 \in GL_{m_1}(k)$ and $F_2 \in GL_{m_2}(k)$ be matrices such that the algebras $\mathcal{B}(E, F_1)$ and $\mathcal{B}(E, F_2)$ are k -faithfully flat.

Then the Galois objects $\mathcal{B}(E, F_1)$ and $\mathcal{B}(E, F_2)$ are isomorphic if and only if $m_1 = m_2$ and if there exists $P \in GL_{m_1}(k)$ such that

$$F_1 = PF_2P^t.$$

Cleft galois objects

Corollary

Let $E \in GL_n(k)$ and $F \in GL_m(k)$ and suppose that $B(E, F)$ is a cleft Galois object of $\mathcal{B}(E)$. Then $m = n$.

Bi-Galois objects

Assume that k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

Bi-Galois objects

Assume that k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

Corollary

For any $n \geq 2$ and $E \in GL_n(k)$, the group of $\mathcal{B}(E)$ - $\mathcal{B}(E)$ -Bi-Galois objects is trivial.

Non commutative geometry

Group G

Multiplication

finit set X endows with an action
 $G \times X \rightarrow X$

Hopf algebra H

Comultiplication

H -comodule algebra Z
endows with a coaction $Z \rightarrow H \otimes Z$.

Quantum principal fiber bundle

The orbits X/G of X under G
is a G -set

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ \downarrow \\ X/G \end{array} \quad \text{is a principal fibration}$$

The fibers are isomorphic to G

$B = Z^{co H}$ is a sub-algebra
and a sub- H -comodule of Z

$\text{can} : Z \otimes Z \rightarrow H \otimes Z$

is bijective

Homotopy relation

Let k be a commutative ring, H a Hopf algebra, R a k -ring and $k \subset k[t]$ the algebra of polynomial in one indeterminate over k .

Homotopy relation

Let k be a commutative ring, H a Hopf algebra, R a k -ring and $k \subset k[t]$ the algebra of polynomial in one indeterminate over k . For any k -module V , we denote $V[t] = V \otimes k[t]$ and for any $i = 0, 1$, we denote $[i] : V[t] \rightarrow V$ the linear map sending vt^n to vi^n .

Homotopy relation

Let k be a commutative ring, H a Hopf algebra, R a k -ring and $k \subset k[t]$ the algebra of polynomial in one indeterminate over k . For any k -module V , we denote $V[t] = V \otimes k[t]$ and for any $i = 0, 1$, we denote $[i] : V[t] \rightarrow V$ the linear map sending vt^n to vi^n . These two applications induce

$$[i]_* : \text{Gal}_{R[t]}(H[t], k[t]) \rightarrow \text{Gal}_R(H, k)$$

for $i = 0, 1$.

Homotopy relation

Definition (Kassel)

Two Galois H -extensions Z_0 and Z_1 of R relatively to the ring k are *homotopically equivalent* if there exists a Galois $H[t]$ -extension Z relatively to the ring $k[t]$ such that

$$[i]_*(Z) = Z_i,$$

for $i = 0, 1$.

Homotopy relation

Definition (Kassel)

Two Galois H -extensions Z_0 and Z_1 of R relatively to the ring k are *homotopically equivalent* if there exists a Galois $H[t]$ -extension Z relatively to the ring $k[t]$ such that

$$[i]_*(Z) = Z_i,$$

for $i = 0, 1$.

We called homotopy the equivalence relation generated by this relation. We denote $\mathcal{H}_R(H)$ the set of Galois H -extensions of R up to homotopy.

Classification of Galois objects of $\mathcal{O}_q(SL(2))$ up to homotopy equivalence

Theorem

Let k be an algebraically closed ring, m_0, m_1 be integers and F_0, F_1 be invertible matrices of size m_0, m_1 such that their asymmetries $\sigma_i = F_i^{-1} F_i^t$ satisfy

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\sigma_i) = -q - q^{-1},$$

for $i = 0, 1$.

Classification of Galois objects of $\mathcal{O}_q(SL(2))$ up to homotopy equivalence

Theorem

Let k be an algebraically closed ring, m_0, m_1 be integers and F_0, F_1 be invertible matrices of size m_0, m_1 such that their asymetries $\sigma_i = F_i^{-1} F_i^t$ satisfy

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\sigma_i) = -q - q^{-1},$$

for $i = 0, 1$.

If $m_0 = m_1$ and if the asymetries σ_0 and σ_1 have the same characteristic polynomial, then the two Galois objects $\mathcal{B}(E, F_0)$ and $\mathcal{B}(E, F_1)$ are homotopically equivalent.

Classification of Galois objects of $\mathcal{O}_q(SL(2))$ up to homotopy equivalence

Proposition

Let $m_0, m_1 \geq 2$ be integers, $F_0 \in GL_{m_0}(k)$, $F_1 \in GL_{m_1}(k)$ and suppose that $\mathcal{B}(E_q, F_0)$ and $\mathcal{B}(E_q, F_1)$ are Galois objects of $\mathcal{B}(E_q)$.

Classification of Galois objects of $\mathcal{O}_q(SL(2))$ up to homotopy equivalence

Proposition

Let $m_0, m_1 \geq 2$ be integers, $F_0 \in GL_{m_0}(k)$, $F_1 \in GL_{m_1}(k)$ and suppose that $\mathcal{B}(E_q, F_0)$ and $\mathcal{B}(E_q, F_1)$ are Galois objects of $\mathcal{B}(E_q)$. If $\mathcal{B}(E_q, F_0)$ and $\mathcal{B}(E_q, F_1)$ are homotopic, then the matrices F_0 and F_1 have the same size $m_0 = m_1$.

Corollary

Corollary

Cleft Galois objects of $\mathcal{O}_q(SL(2))$ are homotopically trivial.

Acceptable matrices

Let k be a commutative ring.

Acceptable matrices

Let k be a commutative ring.

Definition

A matrix $F \in GL_m(k)$ is *acceptable* if $F_{mm}^{-1} = 0$ and if the right most non zero coefficient of the last line of F_{mv}^{-1} is invertible in k .

Acceptable matrices

Let k be a commutative ring. In the case where F is acceptable, we have

Acceptable matrices

Let k be a commutative ring. In the case where F is acceptable, we have

Proposition

Let $F \in GL_m(k)$ be an acceptable matrix such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(F^{-1}F^t) = -q - q^{-1}.$$

Then $\mathcal{B}(E_q, F)$ is a free k -module.

Problem

(P)

Let $F_0, F_1 \in GL_m(k)$ be acceptable matrices such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(F_0^{-1}F_0^t) = \mathrm{Tr}(F_1^{-1}F_1^t).$$

Problem

(P)

Let $F_0, F_1 \in GL_m(k)$ be acceptable matrices such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(F_0^{-1}F_0^t) = \mathrm{Tr}(F_1^{-1}F_1^t).$$

Find a matrix $F(t) \in GL_m(k[t])$ such that

① $F(0) = F_0, F(1) = F_1.$

Problem

(P)

Let $F_0, F_1 \in GL_m(k)$ be acceptable matrices such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(F_0^{-1}F_0^t) = \mathrm{Tr}(F_1^{-1}F_1^t).$$

Find a matrix $F(t) \in GL_m(k[t])$ such that

- 1 $F(0) = F_0, F(1) = F_1.$
- 2 $\mathrm{Tr}(F(t)^{-1}F(t)^t) = \mathrm{Tr}(F_0^{-1}F_0^t) = \mathrm{Tr}(F_1^{-1}F_1^t).$

Problem

(P)

Let $F_0, F_1 \in GL_m(k)$ be acceptable matrices such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(F_0^{-1}F_0^t) = \mathrm{Tr}(F_1^{-1}F_1^t).$$

Find a matrix $F(t) \in GL_m(k[t])$ such that

- 1 $F(0) = F_0, F(1) = F_1.$
- 2 $\mathrm{Tr}(F(t)^{-1}F(t)^t) = \mathrm{Tr}(F_0^{-1}F_0^t) = \mathrm{Tr}(F_1^{-1}F_1^t).$
- 3 $F(t)$ is acceptable.

Reduction of the problem

The classification of bilinear form ensures that the problem reduce to one of the three following case.

Reduction of the problem

The classification of bilinear form ensures that the problem reduce to one of the three following case.

- A. σ_0 is a Jordan bloc of even dimension d and of eigenvalue -1 (in that case, $\sigma_1 = -I_d$),

Reduction of the problem

The classification of bilinear form ensures that the problem reduce to one of the three following case.

- A. σ_0 is a Jordan bloc of even dimension d and of eigenvalue -1 (in that case, $\sigma_1 = -I_d$),
- B. σ_0 is a Jordan bloc of odd dimension d and of eigenvalue 1 (in that case $\sigma_1 = I_d$),

Reduction of the problem

The classification of bilinear form ensures that the problem reduce to one of the three following case.

- A. σ_0 is a Jordan bloc of even dimension d and of eigenvalue -1 (in that case, $\sigma_1 = -I_d$),
- B. σ_0 is a Jordan bloc of odd dimension d and of eigenvalue 1 (in that case $\sigma_1 = I_d$),
- C. σ_0 is a bloc diagonal matrix, composed of two Jordan blocs of eigenvalues p, p^{-1} and of the same size d (and then σ_1 is diagonal with d coefficients equal to p and d coefficients equal to p^{-1}).

Case A

A) If σ_0 is a jordan bloc of even dimension and of eigenvalue -1 and if there exists an invertible matrix F such that $F^{-1}F^t = \sigma_0$, then F has the following form.

Case A

A) If σ_0 is a jordan bloc of even dimension and of eigenvalue -1 and if there exists an invertible matrix F such that $F^{-1}F^t = \sigma_0$, then F has the following form.

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & F_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & -F_{1n} & * \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & * & * \\ 0 & F_{1n} & * & * & * \\ -F_{1n} & * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Solution of the problem in case A

In that case, we can use the matrix $F(t)$

Solution of the problem in case A

In that case, we can use the matrix $F(t)$

$$F(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & F_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & -F_{1n} & t* \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & t* & t* \\ 0 & F_{1n} & t* & t* & t* \\ -F_{1n} & t* & t* & t* & t* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Case B

B) If σ_0 is a Jordan bloc of odd dimension and of eigenvalue 1 and if there exists an invertible matrix F such that $F^{-1}F^t = \sigma_0$, then F has the following form.

Case B

B) If σ_0 is a Jordan bloc of odd dimension and of eigenvalue 1 and if there exists an invertible matrix F such that $F^{-1}F^t = \sigma_0$, then F has the following form.

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & F_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & -F_{1n} & * \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & * & * \\ 0 & -F_{1n} & * & * & * \\ F_{1n} & * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Solution of the problem in case B

in that case, we can use the matrix $F(t)$

Solution of the problem in case B

in that case, we can use the matrix $F(t)$

$$F(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & F_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & -F_{1n} & t* \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & t* & t* \\ 0 & -F_{1n} & t* & t* & t* \\ F_{1n} & t* & t* & t* & t* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Case C

C) If σ_0 consists of two Jordan blocs of eigenvalues p and p^{-1} and of size n , then the invertible matrix F defined by

Case C

C) If σ_0 consists of two Jordan blocs of eigenvalues p and p^{-1} and of size n , then the invertible matrix F defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ J_p & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where I_n is the identity matrix of size n , J_p is a Jordan bloc of size n and of eigenvalue p and 0 the zero matrix, has an asymmetry equal to σ_0 .

Solution of the probleme in case C

In that case, we can use the matrix $F(t)$

Solution of the probleme in case C

In that case, we can use the matrix $F(t)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ J_p(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $J_p(t)$ is the matrix with diagonal coefficient equal to p and with coefficient $F(t)_{i,i+1}$ equal to t .